# Need for Further UWPs

*Joint Staff J7*
*LTC Drew Sullins*

**25-27 April 2006**

# UWP Purpose

- **Meets semi-annually to:**
  - **Address and vote on UJTL Changes into categories, as req.**
  - **Recommend OPR and SML assignments for each UJTL task**
  - **Review UJTL changes for validation**
  - **Discuss key UJTL user community issues**
  - **Discuss potential changes to UJTL Development Process**
  - **Keep up-to-date on the status of UJTL Change Requests**
  - **Keep abreast of other initiatives of interest to the UWP members**

# Need for Physical Meetings…

- **Is there a need for the UWP once the UJTL becomes fully automated?**
  - **Meeting physically to adjudicate issues may not be required**
  - **Electronic staffing could suffice**
  - **Collaborative tools and/or VTC potential for discussion**

# Directive issues

- **Can the UWP be disbanded without changing CJCSM 3500.04D?**
  - **If the manual exists, the requirement exists**
  - **Must change the document to disband the UWP**
  - **Will be a permanent solution, but should it be?**

# Is There a Need for the UWP?

- Value of open discussion in a collaborative environment
- Ease of sidebar discussions
- Ease of addressing new topics
- Addition of new voting members
  - Learning the process is easier in-person
  - Building relationships with other voting members is useful

# Pros

- Potential $ savings by meeting virtually or not at all
- Time savings by eliminating travel
- Tyranny of distance for Overseas Organizations

# Cons

- Building of relationships impossible
- Some difficulties are better resolved in-person
- Open/Collaborative discussion is lost
  - Again, use of WWJTSC could mitigate…

# Discussion

- Processing of Tasks may no longer require physical meetings, but other issues are more easily solved in person
- Meetings may be shorter when tasks no longer need be considered.
- Could meet virtually once per year and as an addendum to the Worldwide conference another time per year.

- **Questions???**